| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 09/658,078 | BATES ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Nathan Hillery | 2176 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: 80 | |
| (1) <u>Nathan Hillery</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Bill Conrad</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>14 December 2005</u> | Time: <u>2:00 pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican | olicant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: 12-14, 26-28, 40-42, 29 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE discussed how the remaining withdrawn claims due to an ele discussed changing the language of claim 29 for clarity and to | ction must be cancelled before allowa | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr | The examiner will provide a writt te record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| MSti | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic | cant/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |